

# Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications The Next Stage

Frances PLIMMER, United Kingdom

**Key words:** Mutual Recognition, Globalisation, Professional Education, Professional Qualifications.

## SUMMARY

In Washington DC in April 2002, FIG adopted a statement on the mutual recognition of professional qualifications which resulted from the four year study of the Task Force. Mutual recognition of professional qualifications is seen as an essential feature in the increasing international nature of the property profession.

Amongst other things, mutual recognition is based on a comparability (and therefore a transparency) of the nature of both professional education and training and of professional practice in different countries. Both of these aspects have been the subject of the earlier study within an EU context, as a result of which a methodology for the further study has been established.

This paper discusses how the research is being developed and the potential outcomes.

# Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications The Next Stage

Frances PLIMMER, United Kingdom

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In Brighton, in 1998, the International Federation of Surveyors (FIG) agreed to establish a Task Force to investigate the area of Mutual Recognition as a device for liberalisation of market services, in response to the challenge of globalisation and to devise the means to ensure global free movement, in a way which reflects the requirements of the surveyor.

The requirement of the Task Force was that it should review the area of mutual recognition of qualifications within the world-wide surveying community and develop a framework for the introduction of standards of global professional competence in this area. In addition, the Task Force was required to develop a framework for reviewing the benefits of and barriers against introducing standards of global professional competence, and this was seen as only the first step in this direction, to reflect FIG's aim to drive these developments instead of being driven by them. In addition, the Task Force developed an understanding of the concept of mutual recognition of qualifications within the world wide surveying community and a framework for the introduction of standards of global professional competence in this area.

(Enemark & Plimmer, 2002: 2)

The work of the Task Force (chaired by Prof. Stig Enemark of Denmark) culminated with the publication of an FIG publication entitled Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications (Enemark and Plimmer, 2002) and a presentation of a report at the 2002 Washington Congress, where a Working Group was established to build on the achievements of the Task Force.

This paper explains the philosophy and nature of mutual recognition, the processes, role of professional organisations and the perceived barriers to success, as well as the work of the Task Force and the methodology which was adopted in order to investigate the nature and process of the education and training which is required to produce a surveyor in a number of European countries. The working plan of the Working Group is outlined and discussed in the light of the methodology and the evolving circumstances affecting professional qualifications and the process of mutual recognition within a wider global context.

## 2. BACKGROUND

There were (and still are) a number of external pressures affecting the need for and the nature of the research into professional education and training necessary in order to achieve the effective and efficient transportability of professional qualifications through the process of mutual recognition. One of these is the World Trade Organisation (WTO) and another is the European Union which, through its European Commission has long recognised mutual

recognition of qualifications as a device for securing the free movement of professionals within the single market place of the EU.

For the WTO, the aim is the global marketplace for services, using the process of mutual recognition of qualifications. With these external pressures on surveying professional organisations, it is important that information is available to understand, firstly, how surveyors in different countries acquire their professional qualifications and secondly, the process by which their professional competence is assessed. Only with such an understanding can individual professional organisations be able to assess the depth and breadth of the technical and professional content of an individual's competence.

The approach taken by the Task Force was in line with the pressures generated by the WTO, which provides a general framework for free trade in professional services. The approach adopted was, however, pragmatic by nature, and drew from the common professional identity of the surveying community. It is important to stress that the concept does not require any country to change the way its surveyors become qualified – either in terms of the process or the standards, which should be achieved. It does, however, require that qualifications gained from other countries using other processes should be recognised where professional competence of the individual surveyor is clearly demonstrable.

It is not the process, which is tested, nor should it be. It is the quality of the outcome of the process, measured against objective national criteria (threshold standards) which determines whether a surveyor has achieved the appropriate professional education and experience in the “home country” to be recognised in the “host country”.

### **3. MUTUAL RECOGNITION**

#### **3.1 The Nature of Mutual Recognition**

Mutual recognition is a process which allows the qualifications gained in one country (the home country) to be recognised in another country (the host country), and therefore it is a device which allows a qualified surveyor who seeks to work in another country to acquire the same title as that held by surveyors who have qualified in that country, without having to re-qualify.

To understand the nature of mutual recognition it is useful to look at specific situations. Thus, mutual recognition does not relate to the business of “getting a job”. In general, employment is a matter between the employer and the employee. Getting a work permit in another country may be restricted by national regulations of immigration, but that has nothing to do with recognition of professional qualifications. Recognition may, however, apply when an employee, who holds a professional qualification from (and, by implication acquired professional education and training in) another country wants to become a member of the professional organisation in the host country, and thereby enjoy the benefits of being recognised as an equal professional and sharing the same rights.

Recognition becomes even more important when professionals want to practise, such as by setting up their own businesses, in the host country. Recognition of professional competence

(visible in the form of membership of the national professional organisation, for example), may then represent a vital competitive element in terms of marketing services to the clients. Finally, recognition becomes crucial when a professional wants to practise within a licensed area (typically cadastral surveys) in the host country. The license may be granted by a state agency or by a professional body. In such a case, the recognition of professional qualifications will represent the key itself for working in such a regulated area.

There are other ways of securing recognition of professional qualifications, one of which (harmonisation) requires that all academic institutions teach the same syllabus to all students. The advantage of mutual recognition is that no national educational programme or system need change. National educational programmes are designed to reflect the needs of the national community for professional skills. One of the advantages of the process of mutual recognition is that it does not require such programmes to change, merely that professional bodies in other countries recognise the quality of the professional competence which is produced and, where the nature and content of the output, in the form of a practising surveyor, is similar to that produced in their own country, award that surveyor their own national qualifications.

Mutual recognition is, therefore, a device for facilitating an efficient global working place for surveying services. It is a device that WTO has approved to secure globalisation. There are various models currently in use by the surveying organisations to achieve this, including bilateral reciprocity agreement and, as in the EU, a legislative framework. With these external pressures on surveying professional organisations, it is important that information is available to understand, firstly, how surveyors in different countries acquire their professional qualifications and secondly, the process by which their professional competence is assessed.

### **3.2 Significance of Mutual Recognition**

There is no doubt that the market for the services of surveyors is world-wide. There is no human activity, which does not involve the use of land, in its broadest sense, and, increasingly, our clients have international interests. Pressure is also being generated by the WTO, which provides the framework for free trade in professional services and surveying, as a profession needs to respond. The FIG Task Force on Mutual Recognition of Qualifications was seen as a response to the opportunities and threats posed by the globalisation of surveying services.

It is the conclusion of the work of the Task Force that mutual recognition of qualifications is the best process to be adopted if the free movement of professionals is to be achieved efficiently and effectively. This should be undertaken at the level of professional institutions. It should not be introduced with the force of government. The whole process should be underpinned by efficient communication between organisations which recognise both the areas of professional activities undertaken by their members and the quality of the output of each of these organisations' professional qualifications (Enemark and Plimmer, 2002: 7).

The task force reviewed the concept of mutual recognition of qualifications within the world-wide surveying community and developed a methodology for the introduction of standards of

global professional competence in this area on which the plan of the Working Group is based. However, before outlining the Working Group's proposal, it is appropriate to consider how mutual recognition could and should work for surveyors.

### 3.3 Mutual Recognition Process

The principle of mutual recognition of professional qualifications requires certain pre-conditions, as described by WTO when introducing disciplines applied to the accountancy sector (WTO, 1997):

- degree-level entry to the profession in both countries;
- appropriate regulation of the profession in the "host" country;
- a corresponding profession i.e. where a substantial number of professional activities practised in the "home" country comprise the profession as practised in the "host" country;
- an adaptation mechanism to make up for any deficiencies in the content and scope of the professional education and training of migrants; and
- a willingness on the part of the host country and its bodies which award professional qualifications/licenses to accept the principle of mutual recognition, to respect the quality of professional education and training in other countries and to trust the professionalism of migrants.

These principles may be seen as an implementation of the GATS (Article VI: 4) that seek to ensure: "... That measures relating to qualification requirements and procedures, technical standards and licensing requirements do not constitute unnecessary barriers to trade in services..." and, to this end, the Council for Trade in Services shall develop 'disciplines' "... to ensure that such requirements are:

- based on objective and transparent criteria, such as competence and the ability to supply the service;
- not more burdensome than necessary to ensure the quality of the service;
- in the case of licensing procedures, not in themselves a restriction on the supply of the service". (Honeck, 2000).

To this end, the WTO have established "disciplines" (specifically for the accountancy sector) (WTO, 1998) which can be applied to the provision of all services. These "disciplines" relate to transparency; licensing requirements; licensing procedures; qualification requirements (defined to include education, examination, practical training, experience and language skills); qualification procedures (which imply the opportunity for an adaptation mechanism to make up for a perceived deficiency in professional qualifications); and technical standards (only legitimate objectives).

Mutual recognition agreements are identified as the most common way to achieve mutual recognition of qualifications, allowing for the reconciliation of "... differences in education, examination standards, experience requirements, regulatory influence and various other matters, all of which make implementing recognition on a multilateral basis extremely

difficult.” (WTO, 1997). Bi-lateral mutual recognition agreements are perceived as interim devices until a global system of mutual recognition of qualifications based on the above Article can be achieved by the imposition by law of a series of ‘disciplines’ which will apply to all professions.

### **3.4 Advantages of Regulatory Disciplines**

There is value in creating regulatory disciplines in professional services because they help ensure greater transparency, predictability and irreversibility of policies both for trading partners and domestic producers. By providing greater opportunity for domestic users to obtain world-class services at internationally competitive prices, regulatory disciplines have the potential for enhancing domestic productivity and efficiency, as well as increasing the scope and quality of services locally available.

For small- and medium-sized firms in both developing and developed countries, regulatory disciplines would help to ease and expand their cross-border trade, they will be able to form regional networks and thereby expand their activities and improve their ability to compete locally with larger international firms. The creation of disciplines will accelerate international regulatory harmonisation. In turn, the concept of mutual recognition should lead to enhancement of professional competence based on the need for adapting to professional standards and codes of conduct adopted in different countries.

### **3.5 The FIG Approach**

There is an attraction in developing and extending the principle of mutual recognition of professional qualifications. Mutual recognition allows each country to retain its own kind of professional education and training because it is based, not on the process of achieving professional qualifications, but on the nature and quality of the outcome of that process.

Mutual recognition assumes an appropriate process of pre-qualification education and training and encourages dialogue between professional organisations in each country in order to investigate the nature of the professional activities, the professional qualifications, and the details of pre- and post-qualification education and training. It therefore concentrates, not on the process of qualification, but on the outcome of that process.

In principle, it does not matter how individuals become qualified in their own country; the important fact is that they **are** qualified. It is suggested that this concentration, not on the process of qualification, but on the outcome of the process of qualification is one which should be emulated by surveyors in the system which they adopt. In turn, this should lead to an enhancement of the global professional competence of the surveying profession.

Indeed, this rather pragmatic approach, as a general principle for developing a methodology suitable for the surveying profession, underpins the FIG Policy Statement on Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications:

”The International Federation of Surveyors (FIG) recognises the importance of free movement of surveyors in a global marketplace. The mutual recognition of professional

qualifications provides a means whereby professional qualifications held by individual surveyors can be recognised by individual professional organisations as comparable to those acquired by their own national surveyors.

FIG will promote the principle of mutual recognition of professional qualifications by:

- Encouraging communication between professional organisations to ensure a better understanding of how surveyors acquire their professional qualifications in different countries;
- Developing with professional organisations a methodology for implementing mutual recognition for surveyors;
- Supporting professional organisations where difficulties are identified in achieving mutual recognition, and encouraging debate at national government level in order to remove such difficulties;
- Working with external organisations (such as the WTO) in order to achieve mutual recognition in both principle and practice of professional qualifications for surveyors world-wide.” (refer Enemark and Plimmer, 2002: 15)

#### **4. A METHODOLOGY TO ASSESS PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE**

It is, of course, recognised that the applicant is a fully qualified professional in the (home) country where the professional qualification was gained. However, it is that individual's competence to work in another country (the host country) which needs to be assessed.

Thus, for the purposes of facilitating professional mobility, it is necessary to recognise and accept unequivocally the professional status and the competence of the applicant in the home country. For the professional organisation in the host country, it is necessary merely to ensure that the applicant is competent to undertake surveying, as practised in that host country. It must be ensured that the applicant is fully aware of and has adapted to the nature and practice of the surveying profession in the host country.

It is therefore necessary for the professional organisation in the host country to establish the nature and level of professional competencies within a range of surveying activities required of a fully-qualified professional in the host country and to assess the applicant against that content and standard of professional competence.

The pre-conditions for managing this process of mutual recognition are as follows:

- an individual must be professional qualified in the home country;
- a similar profession must exist in the host country;
- a representing organisation must exist in the host country; and
- political will must be available to support the process.

The process of assessment of professional competence must reflect:

- the nature of the profession in the host country (threshold standards of professional competence);

- the nature of the professional education and training of the surveyor (applicant) up to the point of application; and
- the professional status of the surveyor (applicant) up to point of application.

A concept tailored for the surveying profession should of course be based on the common professional identity of the surveying community. It is hypothesised that the surveying profession shares a “common culture” and (broadly) a common educational base. The professional problems that the educational programmes are designed to solve are basically the same, even if the solutions may be different, responding to national societal needs. This “surveying culture” should then be reflected when identifying the threshold standards of professional competence to be fulfilled by the applicant. Once such threshold standards are established, the process of assessing the professional status and competence of an applicant is, basically, administrative.

#### 4.1 Surveying Activities and Surveying Professions

As one would expect, surveying, as a profession, has developed in different ways and encompassed different surveying activities in different countries, in order to reflect the national needs, which have developed over time. While a similar range of surveying activities may be undertaken in different countries, there may be differences between the way these activities are grouped as a recognised “profession”. The universal definition of “surveyor” (FIG 1991) is capable of being up-dated to reflect changes in the evolving nature of our professional practices and skills. In general, the professional activities are diverse and some activities, which are performed by surveyors in some countries, are denied to surveyors in other countries. Also, some surveying activities are regulated in certain countries while not regulated in other countries. Furthermore, there may be a greater need for particular kinds of surveying skills in some countries compared to others. This is demonstrated (Enemark and Prendergast, 2001) in the content and structure of the surveying programmes as well as the professions throughout Europe.

The implications of the EU directive and the WTO proposals are, however, that it does not matter **how** individuals achieve professional status, the important point is that they **have** achieved professional status. The only reason to investigate the nature and content of their pre-qualification process is to identify any discrepancy between the professional education and training of the “migrant” (as referred to by the EU legislation) with that required of a newly-qualified surveyor in the host country and therefore to establish an adaptation mechanism to make good the deficiency.

In the light of the terms of the EU Directive and the implications of the WTO proposals, the ability of surveying professionals to work in other countries must depend on:

- the existence of a “corresponding profession” i.e. the extent to which the academic education and professional training and experience gained in their "home" country matches the surveying activities comprised in the surveying profession in the "host" country to which they seek access; and

- the amount of additional academic and/or professional education, training and experience which they require to demonstrate competence in the range of surveying activities comprised in the surveying profession in the "host" country to which they seek access.

On this basis, it is necessary for the surveying professional organisations in each country to identify which surveying activities are comprised within their surveying professions. By comparing such a list of surveying activities with those of which the surveying applicant is qualified and experienced, any lacking competence of the applicant can be identified. Such deficiencies can (e.g. as stated in the EU Directive) be remedied by either by an aptitude test (examination) or a period of supervised work experience.

## 4.2 Professional Competence

Effectively, what is required by the WTO disciplines as well the EU directive is an assessment of the professional competence of an applicant. According to the current interpretation of the Directive, the standard against which that professional competence should be assessed is that required of a newly-qualified surveyor in the host member country. This, however, may cause great difficulties. The Task Force recommends that this interpretation be changed to follow a more pragmatic approach.

Despite the fact that professional competence of the surveyor is fundamental to the ability to practice freely across national boundaries, it is interesting to consider certain characteristics of the surveyor as an individual. It should also be noted that the definition of a surveyor (FIG, 1991) starts by identifying the surveyors as “ ..... *A professional person* with the academic qualifications and technical expertise to practise the science of measurement; to assemble and assess land and geographic related information; to use that information ... ” Such professional competence is often tested by professional organisations in advance of professional recognition being bestowed on the individual.

"Professional competence" is, however, extremely hard to define, although it is something with which all surveyors are familiar. It is suggested (Kennie et. al., 2000) that for newly-qualified surveyors "professional competence" combines knowledge competence, cognitive competence and business competence with a central core of ethical and/or personal behaviour competence:

- Knowledge competence: defined as “the possession of appropriate technical and/or business knowledge and the ability to apply this in practice”;
- Cognitive competence: defined as “the abilities to solve using high level thinking skills technical and/or business related problems effectively to produce specific outcomes;
- Business competence: defined as “the abilities to understand the wider business context within which the candidate is practising and to manage client expectations in a pro-active manner”; and
- Ethical and/or personal behavioural competence: which is the core to the other three parts; defined as “the possession of appropriate personal and professional values and behaviours and the ability to make sound judgements when confronted with ethical dilemmas in a professional context.”

The model above recognises that different areas of surveying practice tend to place different weighting on these elements, thus for some areas of surveying practice, business competence may be a larger or smaller component of the whole. However, the ethical and/or personal behavioural competence is identified as a vital component, which can also be described as the defining characteristic of a true “professional” with all that entails.

What is ignored within the current interpretation of the EU Directive is the fact that the individual being assessed for this purpose is both a professional in the country which awarded the original surveying qualification and a practitioner. The Directive does not recognise the elements of specialisation or expertise, which an applicant may have developed over a number of years practice. It is, therefore, suggested that a pragmatic approach should be taken which ensures that the applicant can demonstrate the adaptation of existing surveying skills to a new working environment. This should include adaptation of new ethics and codes of practice, together with a broad understanding of the other surveying activities that affect the profession in the host country. It is suggested that it should be for the professional organisation in the home country to assure other professional organisations of the professional standing of applicants (migrants). This should include such matters as the nature of the surveying profession pursued by the applicant and their component activities, and the level of the applicant's professional qualification in the home country.

Once this has been done, it is not for the professional organisation in the host country to challenge the status and professional integrity of the applicant. Its role is merely to assess that professional status against an objective list of threshold standards for the home country, including that the individual is prepared to observe any professional ethics and codes of practice it requires.

### **4.3 The Role of the Professional Organisations**

There is a major role for the professional organisations, which award surveyors their surveying qualifications in the process of mutual recognition. It is recognised that there are different roles undertaken by professional organisations. For the purposes of this Task Force, the term "professional organisations" is defined by their functions rather than by their names. “Professional organisations” then means organisations at country or sub-state level which:

- award professional qualifications; and/or
- award practising licenses; and/or
- regulate the conduct and competence of surveyors; and/or
- represent surveyors and their interests to external bodies including national governments.

By using this definition, some countries may have more than one “professional organisation”. For example, in Denmark, cadastral surveying can only be undertaken by surveyors who have a masters-level diploma (bac + 5), who have undertaken three years of relevant professional work experience and who have then been granted a license by the National Survey and Cadastre (Enemark, 2001). In the United Kingdom (UK), The Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) assesses the quality of academic education through its system of

accrediting diplomas (bac + 3), and implements a system of assessing relevant professional work experience through a process called the Assessment of Professional Competence (there is no licensing system for surveyors in the UK).

In order to achieve the free movement of professionals, judgements need to be made on the nature of the individual's professional qualification and experience which is gained in the home country in the light of the nature of the profession as practised in the host country. The organisation to which the individual applies for recognition in the host country needs sufficient information, firstly, to recognise the nature, scope and quality of the professional qualification held by the individual and, secondly, to verify its accuracy. This requires a high level of effective and efficient communication from the professional organisation in the home country to the professional organisation in the host country, which includes:

- details of the professional qualification held;
- details of the nature of the particular surveying profession to which the individual's professional qualification gives access; and
- confirmation of the status of the individual's qualification (e.g. membership level, outstanding fees, expulsion from the organisation).

Ideally, this could be based on a simple questionnaire. Each professional organisation should also have a procedure which requests and deals with requests for the above information as a basis for processing applicant's request for mutual recognition, in an efficient and effective manner. Ultimately, it will be for the professional organisation to establish what, if any, additional professional education and/or training is necessary before a particular applicant is able to practice within the host country in the light of the threshold standards applied. The role of professional organisations is vital if free movement of professionals through the mutual recognition of qualifications is to be achieved.

#### **4.4 Barriers and Hurdles to Implementation**

There are major issues of principle (not the least of which is that of mutual recognition itself) which professional organisations on behalf of their own countries need to embrace and embrace with commitment. However, professional associations are frequently held back by bureaucracy and by a potential conflict of views between ministry rules with which professional organisations do not always agree. Thus appropriate ministries should be included in any discussions on mutual recognition processes.

There are, however, a number of principles which should be observed, and these include the absence of any form of discrimination against any individual surveyor simply because qualification has been earned in another country. Indeed, this is stated within the WTO disciplines proposed (WTO, 1997 and 1998). Assuming that the professional organisations which represent surveyors and which monitor their qualifications fulfil their responsibilities fairly and professionally, there should be little problem in administering the process of mutual recognition of qualifications. Similarly, it will be necessary to ensure that practising licenses, are awarded solely on the basis of professional competence to practice in that

country and not on any basis which discriminates against those who are professionally training and experienced in another country.

However, it is recognised that we are all products (to a greater or lesser extent) of our national and professional backgrounds and the various cultural influences, which affect how we work and why we undertake our professional activities in the way we do. In order to achieve any kind of dialogue, these differences, particularly those of professional practice, ethics and those which affect inter-personal relationships, need to be investigated, understood and respected.

The most obvious barrier to the free movement of surveyors is language. However, this is a barrier, which can be overcome. Access to learning different languages is normally dependent on individual efforts, and, initially, on the national primary and secondary education systems, which can provide either a very positive or rather negative lead. Language skills are of course vitally important to permit international communication and genuine understanding of the rich variety of professional and personal life-styles.

However, there is also the matter of culture which permeates our national or regional societies and which comprises a series of unwritten and often unconscious rules of conduct, professional practice and of perceiving relationships. Failure to understand and observe the cultural norms of other people can result in confusion, hurt and, at worse, perceived insult. There is evidence that culture divides us, both as individuals (as the products of our nation's upbringing) and also as surveyors (as the products of our professional background).

In order to ensure the mutual recognition of professional qualifications, cultural differences need to be recognised in order to understand and accept that surveyors in different countries have different perceptions as to the nature of professional practice and the routes to professional qualifications. Overall, ignorance and fear are of course the main barriers, which may hinder mutual recognition at a worldwide scale. However, with improved communication and understanding, these barriers should disappear.

#### **4.5 FIG Policy Statement on Mutual Recognition**

The FIG Council at its meeting in Seoul 2001 adopted by the recommendation of the Task Force the FIG Policy Statement on Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications. This statement was then endorsed by the FIG General Assembly at the FIG XXII Congress in Washington, DC in April 2002. The FIG Policy Statement on Mutual Recognition reads as follows:

"The International Federation of Surveyors (FIG) recognises the importance of free movement of surveyors in a global marketplace. The mutual recognition of professional qualifications provides a means whereby professional qualifications held by individual surveyors can be recognised by individual professional organisations as comparable to those acquired by their own national surveyors.

FIG will promote the principle of mutual recognition of professional qualifications by:

- Encouraging communication between professional organisations to ensure a better understanding of how surveyors acquire their professional qualifications in different countries;
- Developing with professional organisations a methodology for implementing mutual recognition for surveyors;
- Supporting professional organisations where difficulties are identified in achieving mutual recognition, and encouraging debate at national government level in order to remove such difficulties;
- Working with external organisations (such as the WTO) in order to achieve mutual recognition in both principle and practice of professional qualifications for surveyors world-wide.”

## **5. THE WORKING PARTY**

It is with this background and in the light of these findings that the Working Party on Mutual Recognition has developed its plan for the research which will be presented in Berlin in 2006.

The work of the Task Force, as outlined above, used Europe as a test area and produced a report demonstrating the variety of different programmes of academic and professional requirements required in order to achieve professional qualification in a range of European countries. (Plimmer, 2001). The methodology adopted a questionnaire which was piloted on delegates at an FIG meeting in Delft and sent to surveyors in twenty European countries. Responses were received from twelve countries (51%) and formed the basis for the results and conclusions presented.

In the light of the experience and success of the Task Force which focused largely on Europe (although case studies for different regions of the world were also provided), the aim for the Working Party is:

to improve the knowledge and available information about relevant aspects of professional education in order to implement the process of mutual recognition of professional qualifications, with the aim of developing guidelines for implementing FIG policy in this area.

Based on existing FIG policy with regard to mutual recognition, the specific objectives of the Working Group are:

1. to develop in further detail the methodology of implementing mutual recognition, as identified in the work to date of the FIG Task Force on mutual recognition of professional qualifications;
2. to investigate the barriers to the mutual recognition of professional qualifications, and their solutions;
3. investigate selected case studies which take a “step by step” approach to the process of mutual recognition in order to test the WTO “disciplines” for implementing mutual recognition of professional qualifications.

In addition and to support the stated objectives (above), the Working Group will seek to:

- a) to investigate the process and criteria used by academic institutions in different countries for the selection of surveying students; and
- b) to investigate the indicators which demonstrate the quality of surveying education in different countries.

The process by which this aim and objectives is to be achieved will largely replicate the methodology piloted in Delft and the case study approach adopted by the Task Force in Europe. Thus, once again, the support of FIG members in being receptive of questionnaires and in completing and returning them for analysis will be vital for the success of this plan.

## **6. CONCLUSIONS**

Surveyors have professional skills, which are vital for the effective and efficient use of the world's most scarce and fragile of resources, land. In order to serve our clients and the wider community, we need to take full advantage of the opportunities which are offered by the global marketplace through the device of mutual recognition. It is recognised that the work of the Task Force has significantly contributed to the this goal. It is the intention of the Working Party to build on its success.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The author is grateful for the support and contribution made to the work of the Task Force on Mutual Recognition by Professor Stig Enemark and by the other members.

## **REFERENCES**

- Enemark, S. and Prendergast, P. (Ed.), (2001): Enhancing Professional Competence Surveyors in Europe. Joint FIG and CLGE publication. FIG Office, Copenhagen ISBN 87-90907-11-6.
- Enemark, Stig, Plimmer, Frances. (2002) Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications. Report of the Task Force on Mutual Recognition to the Washington Congress, International Federation of Geometers, ISBN 87-90907-16-7.
- European Council, (1989): European Council's Directive on a general system for the recognition of higher-education diplomas awarded on completion of professional education and training of at least three years' duration. European Council 89/48/EEC.
- FIG, (1991): Definitions of a Surveyor. FIG Publications No. 2, FIG Office, Copenhagen. ISBN 951-96203.0-3 (<http://www.fig.net>).
- Honeck, Dale, B., (2000): "Developing Regulatory Disciplines in Professional Services: The Role of the World Trade Organisation". In Aharoni, Y. and Nachum, L. (Eds): "Globalization of Services: Some implications for theory and practice", Routledge, June 2000.
- Kennie, T., Green, M., Sayce, S., (2000): Assessment of Professional Competence. A draft framework for assuring competence of assessment. Prepared for The Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors.

- Plimmer, Frances (2001) ) "Professional Competence Models in Europe" in Enhancing Professional Competence of Surveyors in Europe (Enemark, S., Prendergast, P. (Eds)) A joint CLGE/FIG Report. Copenhagen, Denmark. ISBN. ISBN 87-90907-11-6. (87 pages).
- in Enemark, S. and Prendergast, P. (Ed.), (2001): Enhancing Professional Competence Surveyors in Europe. Joint FIG and CLGE publication. FIG Office, Copenhagen ISBN 87-90907-11-6.
- WTO, 1997. Guidelines for Mutual Recognition Agreements or Arrangements in the Accountancy Sector S/L/38 (May, 1997) World Trade Organisation.
- WTO, 1998. *Disciplines* on Domestic Regulation in the Accountancy Sector S/L/64 (December, 1998) World Trade Organisation.

## BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

**Dr Frances Plimmer** is a Chartered Surveyor who has been an academic involved in the lecturing of applied valuation for over 20 years at the University of Glamorgan, and in research since the 1980s, achieving the highly prestigious status of Reader in 1996. She is the editor of *Property Management* (a triple blind refereed academic journal), a member of the Editorial Advisory Board of the *Journal of Property Tax Assessment & Administration* and also on the advisory board of the RICS Research Foundation. . She has been researching into professional qualifications issues since 1988 and this topic has formed the basis of many publications and papers delivered at national and international conferences, as well as the basis of both of her research-based qualifications. She is particularly interested in how the issue of culture affects the nature of and the education underlying professional qualifications. She is the RICS's delegate to FIG's Commission 2 (Professional Education) and heads the FIG Working Party on the Mutual Recognition of Professional Qualifications. She holds two part-time academic posts, as Senior Research Officer at The College of Estate Management, Reading and as Senior Researcher at Kingston University, Surrey, both in England.

## CONTACTS

Dr. Frances Plimmer, Dip Est Man, MPhil, PhD, FRICS, IRRV  
Tai-An, St. Andrews Road,  
Dinas Powys,  
Vale of Glamorgan, CF64 4HB  
UNITED KINGDOM  
Tel. + 44 029 2051 5448  
Email: f.Plimmer@btinternet.com